

0.03% carbon (1.2 mm) and an argon + 33% nitrogen shielding gas mixture. The microstructure of the heat-affected zone is shown in Fig. 14.

The weld metal microstructure of each of the welds consisted of austenite and ferrite. The average Fischer Ferritoscope readings were 15.1 FN for the ER309L welds with pure argon shielding gas, 12.8 FN for the ER307 welds with pure argon shielding gas and 5.9 FN for the ER309L welds with the Ar-N₂ mixture. The low ferrite number of the weld with the Ar-N₂ mixture suggests that the nitrogen level in the ER309L weld metal was raised and that the austenite was stabilized by the higher nitrogen content. Although the ferrite number is still more than five, the susceptibility of the weld metal to hot cracking may be increased by the lower ferrite content.

The grain sizes in the heat-affected zones of the different welds were determined in a similar fashion as described earlier with the shielded metal arc welds. The average ASTM grain size number in the heat-affected zone of the ER309L weld with pure argon shielding gas was 1-2, while in the heat-affected zones of the ER309L welds with the Ar-N₂ shielding gas mixture and the ER307 welds with pure argon shielding gas, the average ASTM grain size number was 4-5. Therefore, a smaller ferrite grain size was observed in the heat-affected zones of the ER309L welds with the Ar-N₂ shielding gas mixture and the ER307 welds with pure argon shielding gas than in the heat-affected zone of the ER309L weld with pure argon shielding gas. In the heat-affected zones of the ER309L weld with the Ar-N₂ mixture and the ER307 weld, a larger fraction of grain boundary martensite was also observed. In accordance with these observations, the heat-affected zones of these two welds were harder than the heat-affected zone of the ER309L weld with pure argon shielding gas. Hardness profiles across these welds are shown in Fig. 15. Contrary to expectation, the ER307 weld metal hardness is only marginally higher than that of the ER309L. This may be due to the fact higher peak temperatures are reached in GMAW as compared with SMAW, and, consequently, the interstitial elements could diffuse out of the weld metal faster than during SMAW.

The smaller grain size in the heat-affected zones of the ER309L weld with the Ar-N₂ mixture and the ER307 weld with the pure Ar shielding gas, together with the higher hardness, would render the protection of the zone by the softer weld metal and base metal to be more likely in impact situations (Ref. 2), and would increase the overall integrity of the

weld. Similar to the SMAW specimens discussed previously, three types of failures were observed in the full-size Charpy specimens (10 x 10 x 55 mm) machined from the welds. The notch was placed perpendicular to the rolling direction and the plate thickness. Proper Charpy specimens could not be obtained from the Ar-N₂ welds because of the excessive spatter that occurred in these welds. The spatter is similar to that observed when shielding gas flow is insufficient to prevent air contamination of the shielding gas, and may therefore be directly attributed to the nitrogen present in the shielding gas. As a result, only the ER309L and ER307 welds (both with pure argon shielding gas) were evaluated. The results are shown in Fig. 16. Higher impact energies are observed in Fig. 16 than in Fig. 10, due to the fact full-size Charpy specimens were used instead of the half-size specimens used with the shielded metal arc welds.

Chemical Analysis

Chemical analysis of the base metal, weld metal, and heat-affected zone was done to confirm the transfer of carbon and nitrogen across the weld interface. The heat-affected zone samples were obtained from very fine drillings (0.5-mm-diameter drill) from lightly etched specimens. Etching was done by quick swabbing with Kalling's nr. 2. The results are tabulated in Table 4.

The high levels of carbon (HTHAZ of ER307 + Ar) and nitrogen (ER309L + Ar-N₂) suggest that interstitial diffusion of carbon and nitrogen may have taken place. Contamination of the heat-affected zone samples from either the weld metal or the base metal was inescapable but care was taken to take the drilling as far as possible from the weld metal to get contamination from a region with a lower interstitial content rather than from the weld metal with the higher carbon and nitrogen content. The results nevertheless show an increase of 96% in carbon content and 78% in nitrogen content. The large increase in interstitial content suggests that contamination of the sample drillings of the heat-affected zone



Fig. 12 — The microstructure of the heat-affected zone in 3CR12 (GMAW, 1.3 kJ/mm, ER309L filler metal, argon shielding, 100X).




Fig. 13 — The microstructure of the heat-affected zone in 3CR12 (GMAW, 1.3 kJ/mm, ER307 filler metal, argon shielding, 100X).




Fig. 14 — The microstructure of the heat-affected zone in 3CR12 (GMAW, 1.3 kJ/mm, ER309L filler metal, argon-nitrogen shielding, 100X).

by the weld metal may have influenced the result of the chemical analysis.

Continuous Cooling and Diffusion

It was mentioned earlier that the isothermal conditions assumed in the diffusion distance calculations were not valid, since the welded plate cools continuously. In order to get a more acceptable model of the interstitial diffusion of car-

Table 4 — Carbon and Nitrogen Contents in Different Zones of Welds in 3CR12

Process (welding wire and shielding gas)	Base Metal		Heat-Affected Zone		Weld Metal	
	%C	%N	%C	%N	%C	%N
ER309L + Ar	0.029	0.019	0.031	0.018	0.032	0.024
ER309L + Ar-N ₂	0.033	0.018	0.028	0.050	0.032	0.092
ER307 + Ar	0.027	0.017	0.053	0.019	0.130	0.020

