The Influence of Microstructure on Fatigue Crack Propagation Behavior of Stainless Steel Welds

Fatigue tests on controlled samples exhibited a correlation between large grain size and improved crack resistance
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ABSTRACT: The influence of microstructure on the fatigue crack propagation behavior of gas metal arc welds in 316L and AL6XN austenitic stainless steels has been investigated. A constant ΔK (stress intensity range) testing procedure with a stress ratio value of 0.6 was first used to deconvolute stress intensity range and residual stress effects from microstructural effects as the fatigue crack propagated from the base metal into the weld metal. The results of this test demonstrated that the large grain size of the weld metal produced a rough fracture surface with improved fatigue resistance relative to the base metal. The influence of grain size on fatigue resistance was then studied in more detail by generating full fatigue curves over a wide range of ΔK on base metal samples that were heat treated to obtain uniform grain sizes. Results from fatigue tests conducted on the base metal control samples were consistent with the weld metal results and showed that large grain sizes produced relatively rough fracture surfaces with improved fatigue resistance. The improved fatigue resistance occurred predominately at low stress intensity ranges where the plastic zone size is approximately equal to or less than the grain size. The improved fatigue resistance with increasing grain size was attributed to three main factors, including 1) a tortuous crack path that requires formation of a large surface area for a given length of crack propagation, 2) crack growth out of the Mode I plane, which reduces the stress intensity range available for crack growth, and 3) roughness-induced closure that shields the crack from part of the applied load. Direct crack closure measurements were used to identify the range of ΔK levels where the third factor was operable. Quantitative estimates of the ΔK level below which grain size effects are expected to occur are in reasonable agreement with the experimental results.

Introduction

Stainless steel alloys are used in many applications that are exposed to cyclic loading conditions. In these applications, detailed knowledge of the fatigue crack growth behavior is important for establishing allowable stresses and flaw sizes. In addition, many components are fabricated by welding, so knowledge of the fatigue behavior of the weld is also important.

Although data exist on the fatigue crack growth behavior of stainless steel alloys and their welds (Refs. 1–7), relatively little work has been conducted to determine the influence of weld microstructure on fatigue crack growth in detail. Results obtained to date have shown that the presence of δ-ferrite can influence the nature of the crack propagation path, but this has no significant effect on the actual crack growth rates (Refs. 1–5). It has also been observed that the weld metal often exhibits better fatigue resistance (i.e., lower crack growth rates) compared to the base metal (Ref. 8); however, the reasons for this are not yet clear.

Most fatigue testing is conducted using standard ΔK-increasing tests. While such tests are useful for obtaining the direct relation between crack growth rate (da/dN) and stress intensity range (ΔK), it is difficult to understand the role of microstructure on fatigue resistance. For example, in a standard fatigue test conducted on a weld sample, the ΔK level is varied as the crack propagates from the base metal into the weld. In this condition, crack growth rates will change due to varying ΔK, varying residual stress level, and/or changes in microstructure. Thus, with all three factors changing simultaneously, it is difficult to determine the role of weld metal microstructure in detail. An alternative approach to this problem is to use a constant ΔK test (Refs. 9–11). With this approach, a computer-controlled testing algorithm is used that is capable of reducing the applied loads as the crack grows from the base metal into the weld metal so that ΔK remains constant. In addition, a stress ratio, R (R = ratio of minimum-to-maximum stress), is used that is high enough to overcome residual stress effects. At low R values, the crack may enter into a region in which the compressive residual stress is higher than the minimum applied stress. Under this condition, the crack will remain closed during a portion of the stress cycle, which reduces the applied ΔK to some lower, effective ΔK level and causes a reduction in crack growth rate. In order to overcome this effect, higher R values must be used in combination with a method for directly detecting crack closure conditions so that it is ensured the fatigue crack is always open. With this constant ΔK/high R approach, any effects of microstructure on fatigue resistance will readily be signaled by a change in the measured da/dN as the crack propagates across various microstructural zones, thus providing a sensitive method for deconvoluting microstructure effects from residual stress and stress intensity range effects.

In a companion article (Ref. 12), the fatigue crack propagation behavior of stainless steel gas metal arc welds was investigated using a conventional ΔK-increasing testing procedure. A series of stress ratios from 0.10 to 0.80 was investi-
gated, and crack closure measurements were obtained through a novel compliance offset method. The increase in fatigue crack growth rate that occurred as the stress ratio increased from 0.10 to 0.55 was attributed to an extrinsic crack closure effect in which higher stress ratios promoted a fully open crack and corresponding higher growth rates. Continued increase in the crack growth rate that occurred as the stress ratio increased further from 0.55 to 0.70 was attributed to a true intrinsic material response to increasing stress ratio. These results were useful because they provided a critical stress ratio needed to overcome crack closure effects associated with residual stress.

The purpose of the current research is to use a constant AK test procedure in order to determine the influence of microstructure on the fatigue resistance of welds relative to that of the base metal. Once this relative relation was established, full fatigue curves over a larger AK range were established for base metals with well-controlled, uniform microstructures in order to investigate the influence of microstructure on fatigue behavior in more detail. The results of this research shed light on the role of weld microstructure on fatigue crack growth rate.

**Experimental Procedure**

**Materials and Welding Procedure**

The compositions of the base metals and filler metals used in this study are summarized in Table 1. Details of the welding and sample preparation techniques can be found in Ref. 12 and will be briefly described here. Gas metal arc welds (GMAW) were prepared with matching filler metals on each alloy as described in previous work (Ref. 12). It should be noted that matching filler metal for Alloy AL6XN is not typically used in industrial practice. This alloy is typically welded with a nickel-based filler metal enriched in Mo (Ref. 13) to help compensate for Mo microsegregation. However, the objective of this work was to investigate the influence of microstructural variations between the base metal and filler metal at similar compositions. Thus, a special heat of matching AL6XN filler metal was prepared and used for this purpose. Multiple passes were deposited on 19-mm-thick base metals using an automatic welding system with 1.6-mm-diameter filler metal and a wire feed speed of 470 cm/min for the 316L weld and 521 cm/min for the AL6XN weld. The arc current was 280 A, the voltage was approximately 25 V, and the travel speed was 27-33 cm/min for the 316L weld and 41-46 cm/min for the AL6XN weld. All welding was conducted in the flat position using a 98Ar/2% shielding gas mixture with no preheat and a 150°C interpass temperature. Five layers were used to fill the weld joints with a total of 14 passes.

Both AL6XN and 316L base metal samples were subjected to heat treatments with the intent of increasing the grain size in order to determine the influence of grain size on fatigue crack growth in a controlled manner. Samples were wrapped in stainless steel foil to minimize oxidation during heat treatment and heated at 1250°C for either 45 minutes or 5 hours. The samples were air cooled to room temperature after heat treating. Grain size was measured in accordance with ASTM Standard E112 (Ref. 14). Compact tension (CT) specimens were machined from the base metals and welds as shown schematically in Fig. 1. The samples conformed to requirements of ASTM Standard E647 (Ref. 15). A fatigue crack starter notch 2.54 cm in length, with a 0.15 mm diameter, and a 0.077 mm radius of curvature, was inserted into the specimen by wire electrical-discharge machining (EDM). As previously described, the starter fatigue notch in the welds was placed perpendicular to the welding direction.

| Table 1 — Chemical Compositions of Base Metals and Filler Metals |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                   | AL6XN             | 316L             | AL6XN             | 316L             |
| Base Metal Ni     | 24.01             | 10.16            | 23.90             | 12.17            |
| Cr                | 21.14             | 16.12            | 21.30             | 18.20            |
| Mo                | 6.31              | 2.05             | 6.10              | 2.53             |
| Mn                | 0.35              | 1.71             | 0.20              | 1.66             |
| C                 | 0.26              | 0.44             | 0.10              | 0.10             |
| Si                | 0.45              | 0.41             | 0.30              | 0.86             |
| Co                | 0.18              | 0.15             | 0.18              | 0.15             |
| Cu                | 0.0018            | 0.0117           | 0.023             | 0.016            |
| P                 | 0.0022            | 0.0077           | 0.0022            | 0.0017           |
| S                 | 0.0004            | 0.0011           | 0.0004            | 0.0014           |

Note: All values expressed in wt-%.

Details of the testing procedure can also be found in Ref. 12 and will be briefly reviewed here. All testing was conducted in accordance with ASTM E647 (Ref. 15). An automated, computer-controlled test system was used for testing, acquisition, and reduction of data. Testing was first performed on the welds using a constant AK test procedure at a constant R ratio of 0.60. The AK level was held constant at 15 MPa√m for the 316L weld and 8 MPa√m for the AL6XN weld. With this method, an algorithm is used to reduce the loads as the crack grows so that the stress intensity range remains constant. This stress ratio of 0.60 was utilized based on earlier work (Ref. 12), which demonstrated that this R value effectively overcomes residual stress effects. Thus, any observed change in fatigue resistance can be attributed to microstructural effects. The fracture surface...
The fatigue results from Fig. 2A are replotted in Fig. 3A. Figure 3B shows a photograph of the fracture surface, where various locations on the fracture surface are aligned with the corresponding crack growth rates measured in Fig. 3A. The large, columnar grain structure of the weld is readily evident in Fig. 3B. Figure 3C shows results from optical profilometry, which show how the fracture surface roughness changes as the crack propagates from the base metal into the weld metal. As with Fig. 3B, the optical profilometry roughness of the welds was determined using optical profilometry. Standard fatigue tests with varying AK were then conducted on the heat treated base metal samples. Compliance measurements were recorded on both loading and unloading portions of the load-displacement curve. All testing was conducted using constant amplitude loading and a sine waveform at a frequency of 25 Hz at room temperature.

**Results**

**Constant AK Test Results**

Figure 2 shows the results of constant AK results for the weld metals. These results show the variation in fatigue crack growth rate as the weld traverses from the base metal, into the base metal plus weld region, and then into the weld. It is interesting to note that the fatigue resistance of the weld is better than that of the base metal, i.e., the crack growth rate of the weld is lower than that of the base metal. For each weld, it was observed that the weld metal fracture surface was significantly rougher than the base metal. This is shown in more detail in Fig. 3 for the 316L weld.
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results are aligned with the fatigue results so that the variation in surface roughness can be matched with the corresponding crack growth rates. A length scale key is provided on the right of Fig. 3C for reference. These results clearly show that the fatigue resistance of the weld metal is better than that of the base metal and the fracture surface roughness increases significantly as the crack propagates from the base metal into the weld.

The results shown in Figs. 2 and 3 suggest that the large grain size is responsible for the rough fracture surface and concomitant improvement in fatigue resistance. However, the large variation in grain size and columnar grain morphology in the weld make definitive conclusions difficult. Thus, base metal samples with controlled variations in grain sizes were used for fatigue testing to investigate this potential effect in more detail and to determine how grain size influences crack growth rates over a larger applied stress intensity range.

Influence of Grain Size on Fatigue Resistance

Table 2 and Fig. 4 summarize the influence of annealing time on the grain size of 316L and AL6XN stainless steels at 1250°C. Each alloy exhibits similar starting grain sizes. With annealing at 1250°C, the AL6XN grain size is consistently higher at each annealing time. This may be attributed to the small amount of ferrite present in the 316L base metal, which would pin grain boundaries and limit grain growth. The AL6XN alloy, by comparison, is fully austenitic and therefore contains no second phases to restrict grain growth.

Standard fatigue crack growth data, along with AK_{eff} data for five slope offset levels, are provided in Figs. 5 and 6 for the base metals of varying grain sizes. The AK_{eff} curves are analyzed in the same manner as discussed in previous research in detail (Ref. 12). Briefly, the presence of unique curves for each slope offset level indicates that crack closure is occurring while a single, coincident curve represents a fatigue crack that is fully open. For example, the fatigue results for 316L tested in the as-received condition with a grain size of 24 μm (Fig. 5A) show all offset curves are coincident for all the offset slope levels (which gives the appearance of a single curve) over the entire range of da/dN, indicating that crack propagation has occurred free of closure for all AK levels. In this case, the applied AK and effective ΔK are equivalent since the crack is always fully open. By comparison, the results generated on 316L base metal with grain sizes of 103 μm and 147 μm (Fig. 5B and C) exhibit crack closure up to approximately 7 × 10^{-10} m/cycle (Fig. 5B) and 2 × 10^{-9} m/cycle (Fig. 5C), respectively. (The range of da/dN where closure occurs is indicated in each figure.) Thus, as grain size increases, crack closure effects become evident at higher crack growth rates and corresponding ΔK values. Similar effects are observed for the AL6XN alloy in Fig. 6, although the influence of grain size on crack closure is not as large as that observed in 316L stainless steel. In this case, crack closure is observed below approximately 3 × 10^{-10} m/cycle for the AL6XN sample with a 210-μm grain size (Fig. 6B) and 6 × 10^{-10} m/cycle for the sample with a 280-μm grain size — Fig. 6C. The unique offset curves in Fig. 6B are difficult to identify from the figure, but direct inspection of the corresponding numerical data indicates an appreciable level of crack closure in this growth rate range.

Figure 7 summarizes the applied da/dN-ΔK curves for the various grain sizes of each alloy. Two fatigue curves were produced for each base metal in the starting condition (smallest grain size) in order to demonstrate reproducibility of the test results. The data in this figure demonstrate the significant influence of grain size on fatigue resistance. Specifically, as the grain size increases, the crack growth rate decreases for a given applied stress intensity range. The reduction in crack growth rate with increasing grain size is particularly evident at low levels of applied stress intensity range near the threshold regime. The crack growth rates then become similar as the applied stress intensity range and concomitant crack growth rates increase to high values. The ΔK_{GS} values noted in Fig. 7 will be discussed in the next section.

| Table 2 — Summary of Grain Size Measurements for 316L and AL6XN Stainless Steels after Heat Treating at 1250°C |
| Condition | Average Grain Size (μm) | 316L | AL6XN |
| As-received | 24 ± 3.3 | 21 ± 2.4 |
| Annealed: 1250°C - 45 minutes | 103 ± 12.8 | 211 ± 26.3 |
| Annealed: 1250°C - 5 hours | 147 ± 21.6 | 280 ± 29.7 |

| Table 3 — Summary of ΔK_{GS} Values and Number of Data Points Utilized for ΔK_{GS} Calculations |
| Test Identification | Grain Size (μm) | ΔK_{GS} (MPa√m) | Number of Data Points between 10^{-10} and 10^{-9} m/cycle |
| 316L—As Received-1 | 21 ± 2.4 | 4.2 | 22 |
| 316L—As Received-2 | 21 ± 2.4 | 4.4 | 19 |
| AL6XN—As Received-1 | 281 ± 29.7 | 8.5 | 12 |
| AL6XN—As Received-2 | 24 ± 3.3 | 3.2 | 28 |
| AL6XN—Annealed 5 min. | 103 ± 12.8 | 4.3 | 24 |
| AL6XN—Annealed 5 h | 147 ± 21.6 | 5.6 | 19 |
The threshold stress intensity factor range, $\Delta K_{th}$, can be determined from the fatigue data presented in Fig. 7. The value of $\Delta K_{th}$ is defined by ASTM as the $\Delta K$ value corresponding to a crack growth rate of $1.00 \times 10^{-10}$ m/cycle (Ref. 15). $\Delta K_{th}$ values for the 316L and AL6XN base metals of varying grain sizes are presented in Table 3. The ASTM Standard E647 requires that determination of $\Delta K_{th}$ be completed by conducting a linear regression analysis of the $\Delta K$ vs $\Delta K$ plot with a minimum of five data points between $1.00 \times 10^{-10}$ m/cycle and $1.00 \times 10^{-9}$ m/cycle that were used in the analysis. Figure 8 shows the relation between $\Delta K_{th}$ and grain size, where it is evident that large grain size favorably increases the threshold stress intensity range.

As with the weld metal, the fracture surface roughness of the base metals increased with increasing grain size. Figure 9 shows an example of this for the AL6XN base metal. These photomicrographs were each acquired from the sample at points where the crack growth rates were similar at $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ m/cycle, and crack growth occurred from left to right in the figures. The base metal tested at a grain size of 210 μm (Fig. 9A) exhibits a fracture surface that is relatively flat compared to the sample with a grain size of 210 μm (Fig. 9B), which exhibits a rougher fracture surface.

**Discussion**

The results presented above demonstrate that the large grain size present in the weld metal provides an increase in the fatigue resistance relative to the base metal, and the improved fatigue resistance is associated with a rough fracture surface.

The control tests conducted on the base metals of varying grain size confirm that large grain sizes are beneficial for fatigue resistance, particularly at low crack growth rate regimes.

Fracture surfaces that form with significant surface roughness can improve fatigue resistance in three ways. First, the high surface roughness presents a tortuous crack path that effectively requires formation of a larger fracture surface area for a given length of crack propagation that is oriented perpendicular to the loading direction (compared to relatively flat cracks). In other words, more surface area must be created for a given effective crack length. Second, the crack growth plane can deviate out of the plane that is normal to the applied stress (i.e., out of the Mode I plane) this effectively reduces the stress intensity range that is available to drive the crack and, thus, reduces the crack propagation rate. For example, if $\theta$ is designated as the angle between the crack plane and the Mode I plane, then the stress intensity range that drives crack growth is reduced from $\Delta K$ to $\Delta K \cos (\theta)$ when the crack deviates out of the Mode I plane by an angle of $\theta$. Lastly, rough fracture surfaces can induce crack closure. In this case, large asperities on the mating halves of the fracture surface come into contact with one another during the unloading portion of the curve. This causes the crack to partially close, which shields the crack from part of the applied load and reduces the stress intensity range available for crack growth.

It is evident from Fig. 7 that the beneficial effect of large grain size is most operable at low levels of applied stress intensity range. This is consistent with the three mechanisms described above, since all of these mechanisms occur predominately at low $\Delta K$ levels. First consider the...
influence of crack closure. As the loads are reduced in order to reduce ΔK during development of a full fatigue curve, the crack opens a proportionally lesser amount and the mating surfaces come closer to each other during the unloading portion of the fatigue cycle. Eventually, the loads can be reduced to the point where the asperities of mating fracture surfaces can come into contact if the fracture surface exhibits enough roughness. This shields the crack from a portion of the applied load and effectively reduces the stress intensity range available to drive crack growth. In fact, the closure measurements made during fatigue testing of the base metals (Figs. 5 and 6) provide an indication of the ΔK level at which crack closure contributes to the improved fatigue resistance. These conditions were described in the Results section and are summarized in Table 4. The ΔK values in Table 4 indicate the stress intensity range at which crack closure occurs as ΔK is reduced. Note that the values shown in Table 4 increase as the grain size increases, which indicates that closure effects occur at higher ΔK levels as the grain size increases. This is in direct response to the rougher fracture surface that forms with increasing grain size. Thus, crack closure is at least one cause of the improved fatigue resistance for crack growth rates below the ΔK values summarized in Table 4. It should be noted that crack closure can also occur due to residual stress effects as discussed in previous work (Ref. 12). However, these tests were conducted on samples that were slowly heated and cooled during the grain growth treatments, so significant residual stress is not expected in these samples. In addition, previous work (Ref. 12) has demonstrated that residual effects in these alloys are overcome with the R value of 0.6 that is used here.

The remaining two mechanisms that account for improved fatigue resistance (deviation of the crack plane out of the Mode I plane and creation of more surface area for a given crack length) can be attributed to crystallographic effects on the fatigue crack growth. It has been established (Ref. 16) that fatigue crack growth occurs on preferred crystallographic planes when the plastic zone size that develops during growth is approximately equal to or less than the grain size. The plastic zone size (r_{pzc}), in turn, is controlled by the yield strength (σ_{y}) and applied ΔK and can be estimated by (Refs. 16–18)

\[ r_{pzc} = 0.033 \left( \frac{\Delta K}{\sigma_{p}} \right)^{2} \]

For a given material, the plastic zone size decreases with decreasing ΔK. Thus, as ΔK is reduced during the fatigue test, a point is eventually reached when the plastic zone size approaches (and eventually becomes smaller than) the grain size.

Under this condition, crack growth occurs along preferred crystallographic planes, even though that direction may not be oriented within the Mode I plane. In austenitic stainless steels, growth is favored predominantly on {111} planes (Refs. 19, 20). Crack growth occurs along such favorable planes until reaching a neighboring grain of different crystallographic orientation. The crack is then forced to find the favorably oriented plane for continued propagation into the neighboring grain. When this process occurs in large grained materials, the cracks may extend further distances along the favorable planes and out of the Mode I plane prior.
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**Fig. 6** — Fatigue results and slope offset data. A — AL6XN base metal with a 21-μm grain size tested at an R ratio of R = 0.60; B — AL6XN base metal with a 211-μm grain size tested at an R ratio of R = 0.60; C — AL6XN base metal with a 280-μm grain size tested at an R ratio of R = 0.60.
to changing their path. The expected result would be a tortuous crack path, as observed experimentally in this study.

Equation 1 can be used with known \( \sigma_0 \) values to estimate the \( \Delta K \) value below which these grain size effects are expected to occur. This value of \( \Delta K \) is denoted as \( \Delta K_{GS} \) for reference. By setting the plastic zone size (given by Equation 1) equal to the grain size, the \( \Delta K \) value below which grain size effects are expected to occur is given as

\[
\Delta K_{GS} = \sigma_0 \left( \frac{d}{0.033} \right)^{1/2}
\]  

Where \( d \) is the grain size. There was insufficient material available to directly determine the yield strength of all the samples as a function of grain size. However, knowledge of the yield strength of the as-received 316L and AL6XN provide two useful data points. In addition, Hall-Petch parameters established for the 316L alloy permit a good estimate of the yield strength as a function of grain size for this alloy. Priddle (Ref. 21) previously established the influence of grain size on yield strength with the following Hall-Petch equation for 316L stainless steel:

\[
\sigma_0 + k_y d = \sigma_y
\]

in which \( \sigma_0 = 163 \text{ MPa} \) and \( k_y = 0.77 \text{ MPa \( \mu \)m} \). Equation 3 produces very good agreement between calculated (320 MPa) and measured (306 MPa) \( \sigma_y \) values for 316L in the as-received condition (5% error). Although no Hall-Petch relation was available in the literature for AL6XN, Equation 3 can be used to at least estimate the expected change in yield strength with grain size for AL6XN. Here, it is assumed that the incremental change in \( \sigma_y \) with \( d \) is similar to 316L (i.e., the \( k_y \) constant in Equation 3 is identical), and that the net variation in \( \sigma_y \) can be accounted for by the \( \sigma_0 \) term in Equation 3. With this assumption, the \( \sigma_0 \) term in Equation 3 can be determined so that agreement is found between the starting grain size (\( d = 21 \mu \text{m} \)) and yield strength (\( \sigma_0 = 397 \text{ MPa} \)) of AL6XN. A \( \sigma_0 \) value of 229 MPa provides this agreement. Thus, the following two Hall-Petch equations were used to determine yield strength as a function grain size for 316L stainless steel

\[
\sigma_y = \sigma_0 + \frac{k_y d}{\sqrt{d}}
\]

and

\[
\sigma_y = 229 + \frac{0.77 d}{\sqrt{d}}
\]

for 316L stainless steel

for AL6XN stainless steel

where \( d \) is in \( \mu \text{m} \). Table 5 summarizes \( \sigma_y \) values calculated for each grain size for each alloy. Also shown in the table are the \( \Delta K_{GS} \) values below which grain size effects

![Fig. 7 — Applied da/dN-\( \Delta K \) curves for various grain sizes. A — 316L; B — AL6XN.](image-url)
are expected to occur as $\Delta K$ is reduced. This $\Delta K_{GS}$ value becomes larger with increasing grain size. In other words, grain size will improve fatigue resistance over a larger range of $\Delta K$ as the grain size increases. The interpretation of these values is shown schematically in Fig. 10 for three materials with three different grain sizes (where $d^3 > d^2 > d^1$). The corresponding $\Delta K$ values at which grain size effects begin to occur with decreasing $\Delta K$ are denoted as $\Delta K^1_{GS}$, $\Delta K^2_{GS}$, and $\Delta K^3_{GS}$ (where $\Delta K^3_{GS} > \Delta K^2_{GS} > \Delta K^1_{GS}$).

As previously explained, the plastic zone size will increase with increasing $\Delta K$. As this occurs, a point will eventually be reached where the plastic zone size becomes appreciably larger than the grain size and any improvement in fatigue resistance due to grain size diminishes. Thus, the fatigue curves of materials with various grain sizes will eventually coincide as $\Delta K$ increases. This type of behavior is shown schematically in Fig. 10 and, more importantly, is also observed in the experimental data of Fig. 7. In addition, material with the largest grain size will provide improved fatigue resistance over a large range of $\Delta K$. Again, this general trend is also observed in the experimental data of Fig. 7. With this background, it also becomes clear that the various $\Delta K_{GS}$ values can be positioned as shown on the schematic fatigue curve provided in Fig. 10. For example, $\Delta K^3_{GS}$ represents the $\Delta K$ value at which the fatigue curves for alloys with grain sizes of $d^3$ and $d^4$ will begin to deviate as $\Delta K$ is reduced, and $\Delta K^2_{GS}$ represents the $\Delta K$ value at which the fatigue curves for alloys with grain sizes of $d^2$ and $d^3$ will begin to deviate as $\Delta K$ is reduced. A fourth alloy with the finest grain size $d^4$ would be needed to plot $\Delta K^4_{GS}$ in Fig. 10.

The calculated values of $\Delta K_{GS}$ from Table 5 are plotted in Fig. 7. The separation of experimental fatigue curves with decreasing $\Delta K$ is not nearly as sharp as the schematic curves shown in Fig. 10, which makes exact determination of an experimental $\Delta K_{GS}$ value difficult. However, there is generally good agreement between the $\Delta K_{GS}$ values that are calculated with Equation 3 and those observed experimentally in Fig. 7. For example, the AL6XN samples that were heat treated to produce the two largest grain sizes (211 and 281$\mu$m) separate from the as-received sample with a 214$\mu$m grain size near the calculated value of $\Delta K_{GS} = 23$ MPa$\sqrt{m}$. Similarly, the sample with the 281$\mu$m grain size begins to deviate from the 211 grain size sample near the calculated value of $\Delta K_{GS} = 23$ MPa$\sqrt{m}$. These general trends between measured and calculated $\Delta K_{GS}$ values are also evident for the 316L alloy. Again, the deviations in the experimental curves are very gradual, but the reasonable agreement between measured and calculated $\Delta K_{GS}$ values provides support for the grain size mecha-
isms to fatigue improvement described above.

Conclusions

The influence of microstructure on the fatigue crack propagation behavior of gas metal arc welds and base metals of 316L and AL6XN austenitic stainless steel has been investigated using conventional fatigue testing and constant ΔK testing procedures. The following conclusions can be drawn from this research:

1) Large grain sizes in both the weld metal and base metal produce a rough fracture surface that leads to improved fatigue resistance.

2) The observed improvement in fatigue resistance occurs at low stress intensity ranges when the plastic zone size is approximately equal to or less than the grain size.

3) The improved fatigue resistance with increasing grain size can be attributed to three main factors: 1) a tortuous crack path that requires formation of a larger surface area for a given length of crack propagation, 2) crack growth out of the Mode I plane, which reduces the stress intensity range available for crack growth, and 3) roughness induced closure that shields the crack from part of the applied load.

4) Quantitative estimates of the ΔK level below which grain size effects are expected to occur are in reasonable agreement with the observed experimental results.
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