The Effect of Chromium on the Weldability and Microstructure of Fe-Cr-Al Weld Cladding

The composition range of weldable alloys is identified along with the potential beneficial role of carbides
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ABSTRACT. Iron-aluminum-based weld cladding is currently being considered as corrosion-resistant coatings for boiler tubes in coal-fired power plants. Although these alloys could potentially be good coating candidates due to their excellent high-temperature corrosion resistance, Fe-Al weld cladding is susceptible to cracking due to hydrogen embrittlement at elevated aluminum concentrations. Additions of chromium to these iron-aluminum alloys have been shown to improve the corrosion resistance of the alloys and could potentially increase the lifetimes of the coatings. The current study investigated the effect of chromium on the hydrogen cracking susceptibility of Fe-Al weld cladding. The results showed that chromium and aluminum are uniformly distributed in the cladding on both a macroscopic and microscopic scale. The uniform microscopic distribution is attributed to the high diffusion rates of chromium and aluminum in ferrite. The cracking susceptibility of these alloys is a strong function of the aluminum and chromium content of weld cladding. Additions of chromium to Fe-Al weld cladding will decrease the amount of aluminum that can be accommodated in the cladding before cracking occurs. The hydrogen cracking susceptibility of the welds was not linked exclusively to the formation of the inherently brittle intermetallic compounds (Fe₃Al and FeAl) as observed from previous results on Fe-Al weld cladding. The presence of (Fe,Cr),C₁₋ₓ and (Fe,Al)₂C type carbides was found to improve the cracking resistance of the cladding to the point where crack-free welds could be obtained on some of the cladding that contained the brittle intermetallic phases. This improvement in cracking resistance is attributed to the hydrogen trapping potential of the carbide phases. Conversely, cracking was occasionally observed in high-chromium/low-aluminum alloys that did not exhibit any of the intermetallic phases. It is suggested that cracking in these alloys may be associated with reaction of chromium and aluminum with water vapor in the arc that liberates free hydrogen.

Introduction

Iron-chromium-aluminum-based alloys are good candidates for corrosion-resistant weld cladding because they exhibit excellent oxidation and sulfidation resistance in a wide range of high-temperature environments. These alloys rely on both aluminum and chromium additions for increased corrosion protection, and it has been shown that the corrosion resistance of these alloys in simulated low NOₓ environments improved with an increase in the aluminum and chromium concentrations. Recent studies have indicated that Fe-Cr-Al-based alloys require approximately 7.5–10 wt-% aluminum and chromium additions up to ~ 5 wt-% to remain protective in a wide variety of low NOₓ-type atmospheres (Refs. 1, 2). Unfortunately, work to date has shown that weld cladding that contains the brittle FeAl and/or Fe₃Al intermetallics are susceptible to cracking due to environmental embrittlement.

Liu (Ref. 3) was one of the first investigators to demonstrate that premature cracking of FeAl and Fe₃Al intermetallics is due to hydrogen embrittlement. In that work, the room-temperature ductility of FeAl and Fe₃Al intermetallic alloys was investigated under carefully controlled environments. The FeAl and Fe₃Al alloys each exhibited significant ductility (~12% elongation) when tensile tested under high-vacuum or pure oxygen environments (i.e., with no water vapor). The introduction of water vapor into the test chamber decreased the ductility significantly down to 2–4%. Hydrogen embrittlement of the intermetallic phases has been attributed to their general lack of ductility coupled with the generation of hydrogen that occurs when aluminum reacts with water vapor to liberate free hydrogen via the reaction 2Al + 3H₂O → Al₂O₃ + 6H⁺ + 6e⁻.

While many theories for hydrogen embrittlement exist, the presence of hydrogen in these alloys is expected to cause embrittlement by significantly lowering the cleavage strength (Ref. 4). This type of mechanism is also believed to be responsible for cracking observed in Fe-Al cladding that contains the intermetallic phases in which Al reacts with water vapor in the arc to liberate hydrogen. This, coupled with residual stresses from welding, can cause cracking in Fe-Al cladding that contains the FeAl and Fe₃Al phases. For example, it has previously been reported that Fe-Al cladding produced with both the GTAW and GMAW processes were
subject to this cracking phenomenon when the aluminum concentration in the weld deposit was greater than approximately 8–11%Al (Ref 5), and this Al range represents the composition over which the intermetallics begin to form in the cladding. Cracks that formed on welds were observed to penetrate through the thickness of the fusion zone where they stopped at the base metal. This type of cracking would provide direct paths through the corrosion-resistant weld coatings, and thus allow corrosive gas species to attack the underlying substrate. Weld cladding containing these types of cracks would essentially provide no corrosion protection at cracked areas and would be unacceptable for these applications.

Other work (Refs. 6, 7) has shown that the use of a minimum 350°C preheat temperature combined with postweld heat treating at a minimum of 750°C can be used to alleviate the cracking in the weld cladding. Experiments conducted under a controlled welding chamber has also shown that reduction of the water vapor content helps eliminate the cracking. However, these remedies are not practical for most applications in which a relatively large amount of surface area must be clad for corrosion protection under industrial processing conditions. In these applications, the most practical route to avoiding the problem is to identify weld compositions that are resistant to cracking under processing conditions that utilize typical shielding gases and no preheat or postweld heat treatment.

In view of this, the influence of Cr on the weldability of Fe-Al alloys warrants further consideration. McKamey et al. (Ref. 8) have shown that the ductility of alloys exhibiting the intermetallic phases can be improved significantly with Cr additions, while more recent work (Refs. 9, 10) has shown that Cr is also beneficial to corrosion resistance. Therefore, the objective of this study is to determine the effect of Cr on the weld cladding microstructure and corresponding cracking susceptibility of Fe-Al weld cladding under practical processing conditions without the use of preheat or postweld heat treatment. The results of this work forms the basis for identifying Fe-Cr-Al cladding compositions that can be used as corrosion-resistant coatings.

**Experimental Procedure**

Single-pass gas tungsten arc (GTA) weld cladding was deposited onto 4 × 12 × ¼ in. (102 × 305 × 6.4 mm) A285C carbon steel using a dual wire feeder system with commercially pure 1100 aluminum and 430 ferritic stainless steel filler metals. A smaller matrix of welds was prepared on a low-carbon experimental substrate. The chemical compositions of the steel substrates and the filler metals are shown in Table 1. Welds with a wide range of aluminum and chromium concentrations were prepared by independently varying the feed rates of the two filler metal wires. The plate surfaces were ground using a SiC grinding wheel to remove any scale and to expose bare metal. The weld deposits were produced using a constant current power source at a fixed current of 220 A. The voltage was controlled at approximately 11 V by maintaining a constant arc length between the tungsten electrode (~4 mm diameter) and the substrate. An argon shielding gas was used with a reported moisture content of less than approximately 300 ppm. It should be noted that the Al filler metal may also provide a source of hydrogen as it may be covered with a hydrated oxide. Welds approximately 8 in. (~200 mm) long were produced with a travel speed of 2 mm/s, and welds were therefore produced at an energy input of approximately 1210 J/mm. The filler metal feed speeds were then independently varied from 0 to 74 mm/s to adjust the aluminum and chromium contents of the weld deposits.

The cracking behavior of the weld deposits was investigated using a nondestructive dye penetration technique. Welds were allowed to cool and were left for at least 48 hours before testing for cracks. This was done to ensure sufficient time for cracking to occur, as welds susceptible to hydrogen cracking can require an incubation period before cracks form within the weld (Refs. 11, 12). Welds were then visually inspected and the cracks were counted using a noncontact optical microscope. The cracking susceptibility of the weld cladding as a function of aluminum and chromium concentration was plotted and is shown in Fig. 1. The cracking behavior of the weld cladding was also examined using a light metal optical (LOM) microscope. Examples of typical cracks observed in the cladding are shown in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 1** — Cracking susceptibility of the weld cladding as a function of aluminum and chromium concentration.

**Fig. 2** — LOM photomicrographs showing examples of typical cracks observed in the cladding.
cladding compositions were determined using electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) by taking several large-area scans within the fusion zone of the weld (2–3 cm). Potential macrosegregation in the welds was investigated by measuring the chemical composition at points spaced between 0.1 and 0.5 mm apart. Potential microsegregation of alloying elements was investigated by measuring the chemical composition across the width of grains at 2-μm intervals. All EPMA data were collected at an accelerating voltage of 20 keV and a current of 50 nA. A phi (ρz) correction scheme was used to correct for any absorption and fluorescence of x-ray that occurs during EPMA collection.

Phase identification was conducted using x-ray diffraction (XRD) on a Bruker AXS general area detector diffraction system using Co Kα x-rays (λ = 1.78897 nm) over a 2Θ range of approximately 30–100 deg for times up to 2 h. Samples for XRD were ground with SiC paper to a 600-grit finish and cleaned with acetone. Weld samples were removed in cross section, mounted, and polished to a 0.01-μm SiO2 finish for light optical microscopy (LOM). Samples were etched in a 4% picral solution containing a few drops of HCl for 3–40 min. Measurements of the particle area fraction and number of particles per unit area of weld were made using a LECO© quantitative image analysis (QIA) system interfaced with a light optical microscope. Area fraction measurements were assumed to be equivalent to volume fraction (Ref. 13). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to obtain images of larger carbide particles at an accelerating voltage of 5 keV. Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to determine the chemical makeup of the larger carbides and was taken using voltages from 5 to 15 keV and a collection live time of approximately 100 s.

Identification of fine-scale carbides was performed by removing the carbide precipitates from the matrix using a carbide extraction technique (Ref. 14). Weld cladding samples were first etched in the 4% picral solution containing approximately 5% HCl to reveal the carbide microstructure. A microstructural replica was then produced by placing a drop of methyl acetate onto the etched sample and depositing a small square of cellulose acetate film onto the weld metal surface. The methyl acetate allowed for the cellulose acetate to soften enough to penetrate into the microstructural features of the weld metal. A capillary reaction between the cellulose acetate and the weld metal resulted in the extraction of carbides present in the weld fusion zone. The cellulose acetate film was then coated with a thick layer of carbon using a evaporation chamber, ensuring that the carbon coat was applied to the side of the film that contained the particles from the weld metal. The film was then submerged into a methyl acetate bath to allow the cellulose acetate to dissolve away, leaving behind small carbon films suspended within the solution. Copper grids were used to “catch” the carbon films floating in the methyl acetate solution, and they were transferred to an isopropyl alcohol bath to remove the methyl acetate. Another copper grid was used to remove the carbon film from the isopropyl alcohol, and it was left to dry on a mesh platinum drying rack. Copper grids containing the carbon film and carbides from the weld metal were loaded into the transmission electron microscope (TEM) for analysis. Bright-field TEM images were taken at 120 keV on a JEOL 420 TEM. Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was also performed on carbides using an accelerating voltage of 120 keV and a collection live-time of 60 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Al Filler Metal</th>
<th>Fe-Cr Filler Metal</th>
<th>A285 Grade C Steel Substrate</th>
<th>Low C Steel Substrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and Discussion

Cracking Susceptibility and Microstructural Characterization

Figure 1 shows the cracking susceptibility of the weld cladding as a function of aluminum and chromium concentration. The binary Fe-Al welds were deposited crack-free up to approximately 15 wt-% Al. Additions of chromium into the weld decreased the amount of aluminum that could be accommodated before cracking occurred. A typical example of the cracks observed in the cladding is shown in Fig. 2. All the cracks were predominately transgranular with some small amount of intergranular cracking, and this morphology is consistent with hydrogen cracking of these alloys observed in other research (Refs. 5, 15). Corrosion results of previous studies reported that Fe-Cr-Al alloys required approximately 7.5–10% Al and chromium additions up to approximately 5 wt-% Cr to provide adequate corrosion protection in simulated low NOx corrosion environments (Refs. 1, 2). The weldability results shown in Fig. 1 indicate crack-free cladding should be obtainable with these composition ranges under the current set of processing conditions.

A typical transverse cross section of the Fe-2.7Cr-7.8Al (all values are in wt-%) weld cladding and the EPMA traces performed on this sample can be seen in Fig. 3. EPMA traces taken from the weld surface into the underlying substrate showed that the weld compositions remained constant through the fusion zone until the partially mixed zone (PMZ), where there was a transition from the weld cladding composition to the substrate composition. The partially mixed zones present in the cladding were on the order of approximately 200 μm and did not significantly deviate with the welding parameters. EPMA traces performed across the width of the weld fusion zones showed that the weld cladding compositions remained constant through the width of the coatings. These results indicate there was good mixing between the two filler metal wires and the substrate during weld deposition.

Microscopic segregation of alloying elements was investigated by performing EPMA traces across entire weld grains at 2-μm intervals. Typical results for weld cladding samples containing intermediate aluminum and chromium levels (Fe-5.3Cr-11.0Al) are shown in Fig. 4. These
results show that the aluminum and chromium concentrations are also evenly distributed throughout the fusion zone on a microscopic scale. Reference to the Fe-Cr-Al ternary liquidus projection (Ref. 16) indicates that all the weld compositions investigated in this study will solidify as ferrite. The lack of any microsegregation in the weld cladding can be attributed to this primary solidification mode.

It is well known that the diffusion rates of chromium and aluminum are relatively high in ferrite when compared to austenite (Ref. 17). Thus, any solute redistribution that occurs during solidification can be homogenized during postsolidification cooling by back diffusion, which accounts for the uniform distribution of aluminum and chromium shown in Fig. 4.

As a result, these Fe-Cr-Al coatings should not experience any preferential corrosion when exposed to low NOx environments, which is typical of Ni-based and stainless steel alloys that experience microsegregation during welding (Ref. 18). Problems with corrosion-fatigue cracking in Ni-based alloys have been related to localized preferential attack of the dendrite cores where the alloying elements needed for corrosion protection are low due to microsegregation. Because the critical alloying elements required for corrosion protection in Fe-Cr-Al weld cladding are homogeneously distributed, they should demonstrate improved resistance to this form of corrosion-fatigue cracking.

The XRD phase identification results are shown in Fig. 5. There were four microstructural regimes observed in the weld cladding: ferrite with (Fe,Al)\(_3\)C carbides, ferrite with (Cr,Fe)\(_2\)C\(_y\) carbides, Fe\(_3\)Al/FeAl with (Fe,Al)\(_3\)C carbides, and Fe\(_3\)Al/FeAl with (Cr,Fe)\(_2\)C\(_y\) carbides. The weldability boundary from Fig. 1 is superimposed on the phase ID results for reference, and the approximate location of the order/disorder transformation boundary that indicates the formation of Fe\(_3\)Al/FeAl intermetallic phases is also shown. It was not possible to distinguish between the Fe\(_3\)Al and FeAl intermetallic phases, so they are collectively grouped as Fe\(_3\)Al/FeAl here. The phase fields containing Fe\(_3\)Al/FeAl phases may have also contained ferrite, but due to the peak overlap of these phases, it could only be determined that the intermetallic phases were present within the weld metal as a result of the super lattice reflections. Thus, the order/disorder transformation boundary describes the composition range where ferrite phase begins to transform to the ordered intermetallic phases. Iron and chromium rich carbides, such as Fe\(_2\)C, M\(_2\)C\(_3\), and M\(_2\)C\(_6\) (where M typically represents a combination of Fe, Cr, and Mo) were identified by comparing these low-intensity XRD peaks with the reported powder diffraction files for these mixed iron-chromium carbides (Ref. 19). Because there was very little molybdenum present within the filler metal wires and the steel substrates, it was unlikely that these carbides contained any significant amount of Mo. Because both types of carbides were observed in the weld samples and the actual compositions of these carbides within the welds were unknown, these types of carbides were collectively referred to as (Cr,Fe)\(_2\)C\(_y\).

The phase identification results show that the intermetallic phases began to form at aluminum concentrations of approximately 11\% in the binary Fe-Al weld cladding, which is in agreement with the phases present within the Fe-Al phase diagram (Ref. 20). Chromium additions appeared to moderately stabilize the ferrite phase, as increasing the chromium content of the weld caused the intermetallic transformation to occur at higher aluminum concentrations. According to the binary Fe-Al phase diagram, a two-phase region of ferrite + Fe\(_3\)Al has been reported to exist between approximately 11\% Al and 13\% Al. From the reported phase diagrams, the actual order/disorder transformation may have occurred over a range of aluminum concentrations, where the onset of the ordered transformation began at approximately 11\% Al and may not have completely transformed to the ordered intermetallic Fe\(_3\)Al phase until approximately 13\% Al (for binary Fe-Al welds). The (Fe,Al)\(_3\)C carbides form in the weld cladding containing less than 4 wt-% Cr, whereas welds containing above approximately 4 wt-% Cr contained the (Cr,Fe)\(_2\)C\(_y\)-type carbides. The role of composition and microstructure on the cracking susceptibility of these alloys is discussed in more detail below.

Figure 6 shows an LOM photomicrograph of the (Fe,Al)\(_3\)C carbides that were observed in welds with less than ~ 4 wt-% chromium. The carbides exhibited an elongated, needle-like morphology and were present at grain boundaries and within the grains. EDS analysis of these carbides confirmed that they contained only Fe, Al, and C. Figure 7 shows a typical TEM image of the carbides observed in the welds that had chromium contents greater than 4 wt-%. These welds exhibited two types of carbide morphologies — one with a block-like appearance that was on the order of ~ 150–300 nm in size, and smaller elongated carbides that were generally less than 200 nm long and 50 nm wide. EDS analysis indicated that both types of carbides contained only iron and chromium. These results support the
XRD results, which indicated that $\text{M}_2\text{C}_6$ and $\text{M}_6\text{C}_3$ carbides were present in the weld cladding containing greater than 4 wt-% Cr. As shown in Fig. 8, large hexagonal-shaped particles were also observed. These particles were present in all the weld cladding, regardless of the coating composition, and typically ranged in size from approximately 400 nm to larger than 1 μm in diameter. EDS analysis revealed that these particles were rich in aluminum, but did not contain chromium or iron. These Al-rich particles were more likely $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ particles that formed during deposition of the weld cladding due to the reaction between the liquid aluminum and water vapor present in the weld atmosphere (Ref. 21). Although these particles were large in size, there was a relatively low amount of these particles compared to the amount of carbides within the weld, and this accounts for their inability to be detected by XRD.

The potential formation of martensite in the cladding warrants consideration for two reasons. First, martensite can form in alloys that enter the austenite ($\gamma$) phase field on cooling. The Fe-Cr and Fe-Al binary systems each exhibit a $\gamma$ loop.” The $\gamma$ loop in the Fe-Cr system exists from 0 to ~13 wt-% Cr, while it exists from 0 to ~2 wt-% Al in the Fe-Al system (Ref. 22). Second, the martensite phase is also well known to be susceptible to cracking during welding under the presence of hydrogen (e.g., Ref. 11). Phase equilibria in the Fe-Cr-Al ternary system has been well established and ternary phase diagrams are available from the liquidus projection down to 450°C for this system (Ref. 23). Inspection of these diagrams shows that, in the ternary Fe-Cr-Al system, the primary solidification phase is ferrite ($\alpha$) under all the compositions considered in this study. In addition, the ferrite phase is stable at all lower temperatures for Al concentrations greater than ~2 wt-%, regardless of the Cr content. This covers the entire range of compositions investigated here. The $\alpha 
Rightarrow \gamma$ transformation only occurs during cooling under a relatively small composition space for alloys with less than 13 wt-% Cr and 2 wt-% Al. This indicates that the Al level in the weld cladding considered in this study ($ > 6$ wt-% Al) is high enough to stabilize the ferrite phase at all temperatures, thus eliminating possible formation of martensite. This is confirmed by the metallographic examinations and phase identification results. Thus, cracking in these alloys cannot be attributed to martensite formation.

Influence of Second-Phase Particles on Cracking Susceptibility

Research conducted to date has shown that the hydrogen cracking susceptibility of Fe-Al-type alloys is linked exclusively to the presence of the brittle Fe$_2$Al and FeAl intermetallic phases (Refs. 5, 15). However, the combined weldability and phase ID results shown in Fig. 5 indicate that the cracking susceptibility of these weld claddings cannot be linked solely to the presence of the intermetallic phases. Crack-free welds could be deposited on some compositions that exhibited the intermetallic phases, while cracking was observed on some of the alloys that did not exhibit any of the ordered intermetallic phases. These results indicate that the addition of chromium and the presence of second-phase particles have a strong influence on the cracking susceptibility of these alloys.

Recent research has demonstrated particles such as carbides, oxides, and nitrides can act as hydrogen trapping sites (Refs. 24–28). Permanent hydrogen trapping sites can reduce the overall amount of diffusible hydrogen available to embrittle the metal, and can therefore reduce the cracking susceptibility of the alloy (Ref. 29). The effectiveness of microstructural features to trap hydrogen depends largely on the type of feature. For example, oxide inclusions are more effective trapping sites than dislocations, while carbides are even more effective than oxides at trapping free hydrogen (Refs. 25, 26, 30). A study investigating hydrogen-assisted cracking of 1¼Cr-1Mo steel has reported that mixed iron, chromium, and molybdenum carbides such as $\text{M}_2\text{C}_6$ and $\text{M}_6\text{C}_3$ are effective hydrogen trapping sites (Ref. 31). These results indicate that the
presence of these types of carbides (M$_{23}$C$_6$ and M$_7$C$_3$) may have a beneficial effect on the hydrogen cracking susceptibility by reducing the amount of diffusible hydrogen within the weld metal. Other studies have shown that Al$_2$O$_3$ particles can also act as effective hydrogen trapping sites and reduce the susceptibility of steels to hydrogen embrittlement (Ref. 32). In view of these factors, the quantity of second-phase particles was considered in more detail in an effort to understand their influence on the cracking behavior of these alloys.

**Weld Cladding Containing (Cr,Fe)$_x$Cy Type Carbides**

The volume fraction and number of particles per unit area of weld of (Cr,Fe)$_x$Cy and Al$_2$O$_3$ particles was determined using quantitative image analysis for the welds that contained the (Cr,Fe)$_x$Cy-type carbides. These measurements included both carbides and oxide particles because the two microstructural features could not be accurately separated on a quantitative basis and because previous results reported that both types of particles can potentially act as effective permanent hydrogen trapping sites (Refs. 31, 32). Although the size and number of particles within the welds are expected to affect the amount of trapped hydrogen, the amount of particle surface area within the weld should provide a more direct indication on the effectiveness of the particles to trap hydrogen. This is based on the idea that hydrogen trapping sites rely on the segregation of hydrogen to the particle/matrix interface, rather than hydrogen incorporation into the actual carbide or oxide (Refs. 29, 33–35). The amount of particle surface area per unit volume of weld (PSA) is related to the volume fraction ($f_c$) and number of particles per unit area ($N_A$) by (Ref. 36)

$$PSA = 3\sqrt{\pi f_c N_A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Use of this relation between $f_c$, $N_A$, and PSA to determine the effectiveness of these particles as trapping sites is only semiquantitative due to the following assumptions: 1) the carbides and oxides are equally effective hydrogen trapping sites, 2) the carbides and oxides were spherical in nature, and 3) there was no particle clustering within the metal.

Figure 9 shows that the particle surface area per unit volume of weld metal increases as the volume percent of particles increases, which is expected. More importantly, the results indicate that the cracked and crack-free welds can be separated based on their particle surface area. For the current welding conditions, welds containing particle/matrix interfacial areas less than approximately 80 mm$^2$/mm$^3$ were susceptible to hydrogen cracking. Welds containing between approximately 80 mm$^2$/mm$^3$ and 100 mm$^2$/mm$^3$ particle surface area values appeared to undergo a transition between cracked and crack-free welds. Weld cladding containing particle surface areas greater than approximately 100 mm$^2$/mm$^3$ was found to be immune to hydrogen cracking. This correlation between the size of the particles and the susceptibility of the welds to hydrogen cracking indicates that the size and distribution of particles within the weld has a significant effect on the hydrogen cracking behavior of the Fe-Cr-Al weld cladding. It should be noted that the amount of PSA required to prevent cracking is expected to depend on the particle type, amount of hydrogen available, and residual stress. Thus, the results presented here are not intended to provide a general value of PSA required to prevent cracking, but to demonstrate the important role of particles in reducing the cracking susceptibility of these alloys.

The primary source of carbon (and associated carbide formation) in the claddings comes from the substrate, which contained approximately 0.16 wt-%C. By comparison, the Fe-Cr and Al filler metals contained only 0.029 wt-%C and 0.006 wt-%C, respectively. Low-dilution welds obtained by high filler metal feed rates exhibit high chromium and aluminum concentrations, but low-carbon concentrations. Thus, the amount of carbides observed in the weld deposits was observed to increase with increasing dilution level (due to increased carbon from the base metal). This can be shown in more detail by considering the quantitative relations between dilution and weld metal carbon content.

The concentration of element $i$ in the weld metal varies with dilution by

$$C_w = C_{bm} D + C_{fm} (1 - D)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D$ is the dilution, $C_{bm}$ is concentration of element $i$ in weld metal, $C_{bm}$ is the concentration of element $i$ in base metal, and $C_{fm}$ is the concentration of element $i$ in filler metal. When two filler metals are used, an equation is needed for the effective filler metal composition fed into the weld pool. The effective filler metal composition is simply given by a law of mixtures type expression as

$$C_{fm}^e = \frac{\rho_A V_{Al} C_{Al} + \rho_{FeCr} V_{FeCr} C_{FeCr}}{\rho_A V_{Al} + \rho_{FeCr} V_{FeCr}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $C_{fm}^e$ is the effective concentration of element $i$ in the “effective filler metal.”
tion could then be calculated knowing the carbon content, which can be attributed to the formation of (Cr,Fe)xCy type carbides. This plot also shows that, for the higher chromium welds, the amount of hydrogen incorporated into the weld metal could significantly increase. Also note that the higher chromium welds are made at lower dilution levels where carbon pickup into the weld from the substrate will be low, resulting in only a small amount of carbides that may be insufficient to resist cracking.

These factors may account for the cracking observed in the high-chromium weld cladding, although more work is needed to confirm this. Fully ferritic welds may also possess low ductility due to large grain size. However, the grain size of the weld cladding was generally ≤ 300 μm, which would not be expected to cause a significant loss in ductility. In addition, all the welds exhibited similar grain sizes (which is expected since they were all prepared under similar processing conditions), but exhibited a range of cracking behavior. Thus, the cracking susceptibility of the higher chromium welds with ferrite and (Cr,Fe)xCy carbides is not expected to be linked to the grain size.

Conclusions

The weldability and microstructure of Fe-Cr-Al weld cladding deposited onto carbon steel substrates was investigated. The following conclusions can be drawn from this research:

1) Chromium and aluminum are uniformly distributed in these weld cladding on both a macroscopic and microscopic scale. The uniform macroscopic distribution is attributed to good mixing between
WELDING RESEARCH

the filler metals and substrate, while the uniform microscopic distribution is attributed to the high diffusion rates of chromium and aluminum in ferrite.

2) The cracking susceptibility of these alloys is a strong function of the aluminum and chromium content of the cladding. Additions of chromium to the Fe-Al weld cladding will decrease the amount of aluminum that can be accommodated before cracking begins to occur in the cladding.

3) The hydrogen cracking susceptibility of the Fe-Cr-Al welds cannot be linked exclusively to the formation of the inherently brittle intermetallic compounds (Fe₃Al and Fe₅Al). The presence of (Cr,Fe)₅C₃ and (Fe,Al)₃C carbides significantly affected the cracking behavior of welds, as high amounts of these carbides prevented hydrogen cracking in samples containing the intermetallic compounds.

4) Cracking observed in high-chromium/low-aluminum welds that did not contain any ordered phase appears to be associated with evolution of free hydrogen due to reaction between chromium and aluminum with water vapor combined with a small amount of carbides available that were insufficient to resist cracking, but more work is needed to confirm this possible mechanism.
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